

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

mJ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,992	10/523,992 02/09/2005		Chang Log Jeong	2729-171	2849
22429	7590	08/30/2006		EXAMINER	
		N BERNER, LLP	BOCHNA, DAVID		
1700 DIAG SUITE 300	ONAL RO	OAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				3679	
				DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/523,992	JEONG, CHANG LOG
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	David E. Bochna	3679
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		
Period for Reply		·
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	·
·	Ex parte Quayle, 1900 C.D. 1	1, 403 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4 and 6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No seeived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) (1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sun	nmary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Neterences Ched (PTO-032) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/523,992

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains grammatical errors.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ouderkirk et al.

In regard to claim 1, Ouderkirk discloses a pipe fitter clamp R fixedly installed between a female bracket S and a male bracket 26 which are coupled each other to allow forward insertion of a pipe 10 and prevent backward escape of the pipe and pressing and fixing the inserted pipe, the pipe fitter clamp comprising:

a ring shape body R having an inner diametric portion 32 contacting the pipe 10 and at least one allowance slit 37 formed in an outer diametric direction from the inner diametric portion to enable diametric extension of the inner diametric portion; and

at least one allowance slit extension portion (35 with slit 37) protruding from the body to have an extended allowance slit in which the length of the allowance slit is extended over an outer diameter of the body, extended and connected to have a shape of encompassing an outside

Application/Control Number: 10/523,992 Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

of the extended allowance slit, and bent by a predetermined angle with respect to the body so as to be elastically deformed.

In regard to claim 3, further comprising an auxiliary extension portion (35 without slit 37) having the same outer side as that of the allowance slit extension portion, bent by the same angle as that of the allowance slit extension portion so as to be elastically deformable, and having no allowance slit.

In regard to claim 5, wherein the body and the allowance slit extension portion are formed by punching an inner diametric portion of the body in a stainless steel spring plate, forming an allowance slit by radially cutting the inner diametric portion at an identical angle, lifting off an outer portion of the body and the allowance slit extension portion except for an connection portion, bending the allowance slit extension portion with respect to the body fixed to the steel plate by the connection portion, and cutting the connection portion to lift off the body and the allowance slit extension portion (this whole claim contains product by process limitations, which carry little patentable weight in an apparatus claim. Only positively recited structural limitations have weight in an apparatus claim).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ouderkirk et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,992 Page 4

Art Unit: 3679

In regard to claim 2, Ouderkirk et al. discloses the pipe fitter clamp as claimed in claim 1, wherein a circumferential surface of the body has an inclined surface 33 inclined at a predetermined angle to resist backward escape of the inserted pipe, the allowance slit 37 is radially formed at an identical angle and identical distance with respect to the body, the allowance slit extension portion has an extended allowance slit connected to the allowance slit of the body, bent by a predetermined angle with respect to the body, and has a round hole formed an end portion of the extended allowance slit 37 to prevent concentration of stress and improve deformability. Ouderkirk is silent as the exact metal that the fitting R is made from, however it would have been obvious to make the body and the allowance slit extension portion out of a stainless steel spring plate which is thermally treated so as to be elastically deformed with each other because the selection of a known material based upon its suitability for the intended use is a design consideration within the skill of the art. In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 4 and 6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Helm, Pate, Leadbetter et al., Hynes, Miller, Scholtes and Burns all disclose similar couplings common in the art.

Application/Control Number: 10/523,992

Art Unit: 3679

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Bochna whose telephone number is (571) 272-7078.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30 Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

David E. Bochna Primary Examiner Page 5

Art Unit 3679